

Influence of Pruning Severity and Biofertilizers on Flowering Attributes and Yield of Lemon [*Citrus limon* (L) Burm.] cv. Assam lemon under Foothills of Arunachal Pradesh

T.P. Rathour^{1*}, P.K. Nimbolkar¹, L. Wangchu¹ and Premaradhya N.²

¹Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Pasighat (Arunachal Pradesh), India.

²MTTC & VTC, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Pasighat (Arunachal Pradesh), India.

(Corresponding author: T.P. Rathour*)

(Received 19 July 2022, Accepted 29 August, 2022)

(Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: The present study was conducted to know the response of pruning levels and biofertilizers on flowering attributes and yield of Assam lemon. The experiment was laid out in two factorial randomized block design with three levels of pruning, five levels of biofertilizers and their interaction. The investigation revealed that the pruning 50% length of shoot (P₂) was found significantly superior over the control with respect to flowering parameters viz., days required for first flowering (321.93 days), number of flowers per shoot (94.03), duration of flowering (25.13 days) and fruit yield (3.05 kg/plant) and fruit yield (3.39 t/ha). The treatment B₄ (*Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 90g/plant + *Trichoderma* @ 90g/plant + *Azotobacter* @ 15g/plant) performed better with respect to days required for first flowering (315.22 days), number of flowers per shoot (71.44), duration of flowering (27.33 days) and fruit yield (4.10 t/ha). Among the interaction treatments of pruning and biofertilizers, the treatment (P₂B₄) 50 % pruned plants fed with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 90g/plant + *Trichoderma* @ 90g/plant + *Azotobacter* @ 15g/plant was found significantly superior in response of days required for first flowering (322.33 days), number of flowers per shoot (104.5), duration of flowering (17.67 days) and fruit yield (4.45 t/ha) compare to all the treatment. It can be concluded that efficient management of pruning 50% length of shoot along with biofertilizers combination rather than sole is essential for increase the flowering and yield attributes of Assam lemon.

Keywords: Assam lemon, Pruning levels, Biofertilizers, Flowering and Yield.

INTRODUCTION

Citrus is the world's most economically important fruit crop, is grown in both developed and developing countries, and is unquestionably one of the most important sources of vitamin C. A growing demand for "excellent grade fresh citrus" is also being spurred by World Health Organization recommendations (Iglesias *et al.*, 2007). Assam Lemon is an important variety of lemon that is widely farmed in North-East India. It has a significant demand in national as well as international market owing to its characteristic aroma, vitamin C, carotenoids, folate, fibre, zero fat and rich source of natural antioxidants. It is early bearing with three fruiting seasons, viz., April-May, August-September, and November-December the previous season growth is generally more fruitful under Arunachal Pradesh's Foothills. The main reason for the plant's diminishing output was discovered to be an unbalanced overcrowded orchard, which also resulted in a high disease-pest infestation (Singh and Dhaliwal 2004). Pruning is therefore necessary to promote sunshine penetration, which is not only effects blooming and fruit set but also improves fruit quality and colour development. Because lemon plants bear three times a year, adequate fertilizer must also be used to get the

best yields and quality production (Khehra and Bal 2014). However, the continued use of chemical fertilisers has damaged soil health in terms of fertility and productivity. In such case, a combination of biofertilizers must be used to minimise the negative impact of chemical fertilisers while also improving the physical features of the soil. The main goal of this study was to find out the effect different levels of pruning and biofertilizers on flowering attributes and yield of Assam lemon under Arunachal Pradesh condition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out on seven years old Assam lemon orchard at the field of Citrus Fruit Block, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh, India during the year 2021 to 2022, It is geographically located at 28° 04' 43" N latitude and 95° 19' 26" E longitude with an altitude of 153 m above the mean sea level. The experiment was laid out in two Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) and 15 treatment combination (three levels of pruning and five levels of biofertilizers) with 3 replications. There were three levels of pruning, namely P₀- No pruning (Control), P₁- 25% pruning from the terminal portion of the shoot, P₂- 50% pruning from the

terminal portion of the shoot and five treatments of different biofertilizers viz., no. biofertilizers (B₀), PGPR (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*) @ 90g/plant (B₁), Trichoderma @ 90g/plant (B₂), Azotobacter @ 15g/plant (B₃) and combination of PGPR (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*) @ 90g/plant + Trichoderma @ 90g/plant + Azotobacter @ 15g/plant (B₄) were applied alone and in combination with different levels of pruning. The pruning treatments were practiced in the month of February, 2021 and biofertilizers were applied to the soil from two feet away from the tree trunk in the same period as above. The crop management practices such as irrigation, weeding and other cultural practices were done at regular interval during the experimentation. The data on flowering parameters viz., days required for first flowering (days), number of flowers per shoot, duration of flowering (days) and fruit yield was recorded from three labelled plants for each treatment. The date of initiation of flowering on tagged branches was recorded and expressed in number of days taken for flowering after application of treatments and the total number of flowers were counted on tagged branches from all the directions and average number of flowers per branch were calculated. Similarly, duration of flowering was calculated from the date of initiation to cessation of flowering. The statistical analysis of two factor RBD for the recorded observations was performed using statistical tools. Significance and non-significance of the variance due to the different treatments were determined by calculating the respective 'F' values as given by Gomez and Gomez (2010).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1: Influence of pruning and biofertilizers on days required for first flowering.

Factors	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	Mean(P)
P ₀	296.67	298.67	303.33	301.67	307.33	301.53
P ₁	315.33	318.33	317.33	315.67	316.00	316.53
P ₂	318.00	324.67	323.00	321.67	322.33	321.93
Mean(B)	310.00	313.89	314.56	313.00	315.22	
	S.Em±		C.D. 0.05%			
Effect of Pruning(P)	0.107		0.309			
Effect of Biofertilizers(B)	0.178		0.515			
P × B Interaction	0.533		1.544			

Number of flowers per shoot. Pruning has a considerable influence on the number of flowers, (Table 2) with the maximum number of flowers (94.03) per branch were achieved significantly superior in the treatment P₂ (pruning 50% length of shoot) while the minimum (30.90) was obtained in the treatment P₀ (unpruned). Biofertilizers were also revealed to have a substantial impact on the number of flowers per branch, with a maximum number of flowers (71.44) in treatment B₄ (PGPR-*Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 90g/plant + Trichoderma @ 90g/plant + Azotobacter @ 15g/plant) and a minimum (46.44) number of flowers in the treatment B₀ (no-biofertilizers). Similarly, the treatment B₁, B₂, B₃ were showed intermediate values (52.33), (54.55) and (59.61) respectively, with different sole

Days required for first flowering. The experimental result in response to days required for first flowering were showed significant under varying levels of pruning (Table 1). The results revealed that, commercial flowering initiation is highly related to pruning levels. Flowering occurred later (321.93 days) in the treatment P₂ (pruning 50% length of shoot) and earlier (301.53 days) in P₀ (unpruned). Furthermore, biofertilizers statistically delayed flowering, with B₄ (PGPR-*Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 90g/plant + Trichoderma @ 90g/plant + Azotobacter @ 15g/plant) flowered 315.22 days after imposition of treatments and the treatment B₀ (no-biofertilizers) flowering 310 days later. Although flower initiation was earliest (296.7 days) in treatment combination P₀B₀ (control) and late (322.33 days in treatment P₂B₄ (pruning 50% + PGPR-*Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 90g/plant + Trichoderma @ 90g/plant + Azotobacter @ 15g/plant). the interaction influence of pruning and biofertilizers were also found significant.

The delay in blooming with pruning levels was related to the fact that the growth of new branches was more in pruned than in un-pruned plants. Furthermore, as the number of leaves increased, so did photosynthesis, allowing for late blooming and the completion of the crop cycle. In comparison to the control, pruning intensity, integrated nutrition management with biofertilizers, and their combination dramatically enhanced blooming qualities. These findings support the findings of Lal and Prasad (1980) in ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) and Pawar *et al.* (1994) in pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) that the pruning postponed flowering. Ghosh (2015) also reported that there was a delay in flowering of lemon due to increase the vegetative growth of plant, as a result of combined effect of pruning and biofertilizers.

biofertilizer application and the interaction impact of pruning and biofertilizers on the number of flowers per shoot was also showed substantial. The treatment P₂B₄ (pruning 50% + PGPR-*Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 90g/plant + Trichoderma @ 90g/plant + Azotobacter @ 15g/plant) produced 104.5 flowers which was significant above the (P₀B₀) control (21.50).

This could be due to the young shoot response more for flower induction and our experiment confirmed that around 40 cm length of shoots bear flowers. Pruning aids in the production of new fruiting units, increasing the number of flowers per stalk. According to the findings of Pavani (2018), the imposition of pruning and biofertilizers increased the number of flowers by increasing the number of leaves and leaf area, which

may have boosted the generation and storage of increased photosynthates that were derived to the sink and generated more flowers and moderate pruning

increased the number of flowers per shoot in acid lime. Findings of Anez (1998) in guava and Ghosh (2015) in lemon also were showed similar to our results.

Table 2: Influence of pruning and biofertilizers on number of flowers.

Factors	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	Mean(P)
P ₀	21.50	29.33	30.66	35.66	37.33	30.90
P ₁	32.50	40.66	41.33	41.50	72.50	45.70
P ₂	85.33	87.00	91.66	101.66	104.50	94.03
Mean(B)	46.44	52.33	54.55	59.61	71.44	
		S.Em±		C.D. @ 0.05%		
Effect of Pruning(P)		0.079		0.229		
Effect of Biofertilizers(B)		0.132		0.381		
P x B Interaction		0.395		1.144		

Duration of flowering. The data presented in the Table 3 revealed that the effect of pruning had a significant influence on the duration of flowering, observed maximum duration (50.27 days) was found by treatment P₀ (unpruned), while the lowest found (25.13 days) in treatment P₂ (pruning 50% length of shoot). The biofertilizers was also shown a significant effect on the duration of flowering, where it was recorded a maximum (41.00 days) duration in treatment B₀ (no-biofertilizers) and minimum (27.33 days) in treatment B₄ (PGPR-*Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 90g/plant + Trichoderma @ 90g/plant + Azotobacter @ 15g/plant). Similarly, the interaction impact of pruning and biofertilizers was also showed significant. It was observed that the duration of flowering was completed earliest (17.67 days) in the treatment P₂B₄ (pruning 50% + PGPR-*Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 90g/plant + Trichoderma @ 90g/plant + Azotobacter @ 15g/plant) and belated (57.67 days) in P₀B₀ (control). The findings were supported with the results of study conducted by Pavani 2018, found that moderate pruning

in acid lime increased the duration of flowering, whereas Patil *et al.* (2018) found that increasing pruning intensity decreased the duration of flowering in acid lime, which could be due to extra nutrient availability in the branches or shoots. These results agreed with those of Bajwa *et al.* (1986) in ber and Khan and Syamal (2004) in kagzi lime. The participation of biofertilizer in atmospheric nitrogen fixation and *Pseudomonas* in phosphate solubilization is responsible for a healthier soil environment, which is reflected in the tree's blossoming (Yadav *et al.*, 2011). Azotobacter in combination with *T. viride* and *P. fluorescens* is a superior option for improving flowering and yield-related characteristics. However, a combination of all microbial inoculations, including biofertilizers Azotobacter, Azospirillum, PSB, and microbial pesticides *T. viride* and *P. fluorescens*, was the greatest treatment for flowering-related aspects and production, as well as greater plant survival against soil infections (Mondal *et al.*, 2016).

Table 3: Influence of pruning and biofertilizers on flowering duration.

Factors	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	Mean(P)
P ₀	57.67	54.00	49.00	46.33	44.33	50.27
P ₁	35.00	27.33	25.00	22.00	20.00	25.87
P ₂	30.33	28.00	25.67	24.00	17.67	25.13
Mean(B)	41.00	36.44	33.22	30.78	27.33	
		S.Em±		C.D. @ 0.05%		
Effect of Pruning(P)		0.076		0.220		
Effect of Biofertilizers(B)		0.127		0.367		
P x B Interaction		0.380		1.100		

Fruit yield. The results on fruit yield kg/ha and t/ha represented in Table 4 and 5 respectively. The significant influence of pruning levels and different biofertilizers showed on fruit yield of Assam lemon. Pruning at 50% (P₂) had the highest average yield (3.05 kg/plant and 3.39 t/ha) and the lowest (1.86 kg/plant and 2.06 t/ha) fruit yield was reported in the treatment P₀ (unpruned). The use of biofertilizers resulted in a significantly higher average fruit production. The Treatment B₄ (PGPR-*Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 90g/plant + Trichoderma @ 90g/plant + Azotobacter @ 15g/plant) had the highest average yield (3.69 Kg/plant and 4.10 t/ha) while treatment B₀ (No- biofertilizers) had the lowest (1.71 Kg/plant and 1.90 t/ha). The interaction of pruning and biofertilizers also had a considerable influence on average fruit production, with

maximum (4.01 Kg/plant and 4.45 t/ha) yield at maturity was recorded in treatment combination P₂B₄ (pruning 50% length of shoot + PGPR-*Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 90g/plant + Trichoderma @ 90g/plant + Azotobacter @ 15g/plant), which is comparable to P₁B₄ (pruning 25% length of shoot + PGPR-*Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 90g/plant + Trichoderma @ 90g/plant + Azotobacter @ 15g/plant) 3.89 kg/plant and 4.32 t/ha whereas least (1.34 Kg/plant and 1.48 t/ha) was observed in control (P₀B₀). It could be because, when compared to unpruned trees, a more open tree canopy with a wider leaf area allowed light to penetrate, resulting in the assimilation of more photosynthetic materials, which increased the number of laterals, leaf area, number of spurs, flower bud, fruit set, and size, thus increasing total yield and also less

competition for the growth of individual fruit. Such justification was also cited by Kumar *et al.* (2014) in berand Kesner *et al.* (1981) in cherry. According to Nath and Baruah (2001), shoot cutting in Assam lemon fostered more new shoot development, resulting in a greater yield. Similarly, Mondal *et al.* (2016) also reported that combining Azotobacter with Trichoderma and *P. fluorescens* is a superior option for increasing yield-related characteristics. However, the combination of all microbial inoculations, including biofertilizers like Azotobacter and PSB and microbial pesticides

Trichoderma and *P. fluorescens*, proved to be the most effective treatment for yield-related characteristics and yield, as well as greater plant survival against soil diseases. It also improves soil fertility to the greatest extent possible. Using bioinoculants like Azotobacter in conjunction with PGPRs will not only supplement various nutrients in the soil, but will also increase the quality and quantity of fruits (Pathak *et al.*, 2017). Similar findings have been reported in guava (Lal *et al.*, 1996; Saikh and Hulmani 1997).

Table 4: Influence of pruning and biofertilizers on fruit yield (Kg/plant).

Factors	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	Mean(P)
P ₀	1.34	1.53	1.62	1.63	3.17	1.86
P ₁	1.68	1.90	2.23	2.68	3.89	2.48
P ₂	2.11	2.93	3.09	3.14	4.01	3.05
Mean(B)	1.71	2.12	2.31	2.48	3.69	
		S.Em±		C.D. @ 0.05%		
Effect of Pruning(P)		0.018		0.053		
Effect of Biofertilizers(B)		0.030		0.088		
P × B Interaction		0.091		0.264		

Table 5: Influence of pruning and biofertilizers on fruit yield (t/ha).

Factors	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	Mean(P)
P ₀	1.48	1.70	1.80	1.81	3.52	2.06
P ₁	1.86	2.11	2.48	2.98	4.32	2.75
P ₂	2.34	3.26	3.44	3.48	4.45	3.39
Mean(B)	1.90	2.36	2.57	2.76	4.10	
		S.Em±		C.D. @ 0.05%		
Effect of Pruning(P)		0.018		0.053		
Effect of Biofertilizers(B)		0.030		0.088		
P × B Interaction		0.091		0.263		

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the present result suggests that the efficient management of pruning along with biofertilizers in an efficient way would not only reduce the sole dependence on chemical fertilizers but also influence the flowering and fruiting of Assam lemon. Among several levels of pruning and biofertilizers application, high pruning (pruning 50% from the terminal portion of shoot) along with combination of biofertilizers *viz.*, PGPR-*Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 90g/plant + Trichoderma @ 90g/plant + Azotobacter @ 15g/plant proved as best in terms of quality production of Assam lemon in this region.

FUTURE SCOPE

— The experiment entitled “Influence of Pruning Severity & Biofertilizers on Flowering Attributes and Yield of Lemon [*Citrus limon* (L) Burm.] Cv. Assam lemon Under Foothills of Arunachal Pradesh” was conducted for the first time under foothills of Arunachal Pradesh conditions hence, it may be repeated to confirm the finding of the present investigation.

— Protein, antioxidants, peel oil, flavoring compounds, and other biochemical properties can be evaluated.

REFERENCES

Anez, Q. M. E. (1998). Effect of pruning on guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) clones. *Revista Unellez de Ciencia Tecnologia Produccion Agricola*, 16: 107- 118.

Bajwa, G. S., H. S. Sandhu and Bal, J. S. (1986). Effect of different pruning severity on growth and bearing of ber. *Indian J. Hort.*, 43: 203-206.

Ghosh, A. (2015). Effect of pruning and nutrient management on growth and yield of Lemon cv. Assam Lemon (*Citrus limon* Burm.). M.Sc. Thesis, Uttar Banga Krishi Vishwavidyalaya pundibari, coochbehar, west Bengal, India. Pp. 33-88.

Gomez, A. K., and Gomez, A.A. (2010). Statistical procedures for agricultural research. 2nd edn. *Wiley India Pvt. Ltd.*, New Delhi, pp. 134-138.

Iglesias, D. J., Cercos, M., Colmenero-Flores, J.M., Naranjo, M. A., Rios, G., Carrera, E., Ruiz-Rivero, O., Lliso, I., Morillon, R., Tadeo, F. R., Talon, M. 2007. Physiology of citrus fruiting. *Br. J. Plant Physiol.*, 19(4): 333-362.

Kesner, C. M., Hansen, C. M., and Fouch, S. B. (1981). Tree training and mechanical pruning of tart cherry in high density planting. *Compact Fruit Tree*, 14: 135-139.

Khan, M. and Syamal, M. M. (2004). Effect of pruning on flowering and fruiting of Kagzi lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle). *Indian J. of Hort.*, 61(2): 171-172.

Khehra, S. and Bal, J. S. (2014). Influence of organic and inorganic nutrient sources on growth of lemon (*Citrus limon* Burm.) Cv. Baramasi. *J. Exp. Biol. and Agric. Sci.*, 2(1S): 126-129.

Kumar, H., Katiyar, P.N., Singh, A.K. and Rajkumar, B.V. (2014). Effect of different pruning severity on growth and yield of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk), cv. Banarsi Karaka. *Int. J. Curr. Micro. and App. Sci.*, 3(5): 935-940.

- Lal, H. and Prasad, A. (1980). Pruning in ber. Effect of flowering, fruit set and retention. *Punjab Hort. J.*, 20: 52-55.
- Lal, S., Thiwari, J.P. and Misra, K.K. (1996). Effect of plant spacing and pruning intensity on flowering and fruiting of guava. *Ann. Agri Res.*, 17(1): 83-89.
- Mondal, C. K., Bandyopadhyay, P., Garain, P. K. and Maji, A. (2016). Compatibility between Microbial Cultures of Biofertilizers and Bio-control Agents for Sustainable Crop Management in Bio-Based Farming [Conference presentation abstract]. International Conference on Agriculture, Food Science, Natural Resource Management and Environmental Dynamics: The Technology, People and Sustainable Development Ramkrishna Ashram Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Nimpith Ashram, South 24 Parganas, West Bengal -743338, India. P. 9.
- Nath, J.C., and Baruah, K. (2001). Effect of pruning and growth regulators on sex expression fruit set, size, drop and yield in Assam lemon (*Citrus limon* Burm.). *Hort. J.*, 14(2): 127-133.
- Pathak, D. V., Kumar, M. and Rani, K. (2017). Biofertilizer application in horticultural crops. In *Microorganisms for Green Revolution*. (pp. 215-227). Springer, Singapore.
- Patil, S.R., Bichkule, S.M., and Sonkamble, A.M. (2018). Effect of severity and time of pruning on growth, flowering and fruit set of hasta bahar in acid lime. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, (6): 968-974.
- Pavani, K. (2018). Foliar Application of Chemicals on Flowering and Fruiting of pruned Acid lime tree (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle) cv. Kuliiana lime. M.Sc. Thesis, orissa university of agriculture and technology bhubaneswar-751003, Odisha, India. Pp. 26-53.
- Pawar, S. K., Desai, U. T. and Choudhari, S. M. (1994). Effect of pruning and thinning on growth, yield and quality of pomegranate. *Ann. Arid Zone*, 31: 45-47.
- Saikh, M. K., and Hulmani, N. C. (1997). Effect of pruning on shoot growth, leaf area and yield in guava. *Karnataka J. Agri. Sci.*, 10(1): 93-97.
- Singh, G. and Dhaliwal, G. S. (2004). Effect of different pruning levels on fruit yield and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Sardar. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, 33(1/2): 83-84.
- Yadav, A. K., Singh, J. K., and Singh, H. K. (2011). Studies on integrated nutrient management in flowering, fruiting, yield and quality of mango cv. Amrapali under high density orcharding. *Indian J. Hort.*, 68(4): 453-460.

How to cite this article: T.P. Rathour, P.K. Nimbolkar, L. Wangchu and Premaradhya N. (2022). Influence of Pruning Severity and Biofertilizers on Flowering Attributes and Yield of Lemon [*Citrus limon* (L) Burm.] cv. Assam lemon under Foothills of Arunachal Pradesh. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, 14(3): 1546-1550.